

# Global Warm(onger)ing

---

Nick Kypriotakis

**W**hen it comes to foreign policy, the mass media spares no expense in labeling George W. Bush and other Conservatives as fear-mongers. Every word spoken, every step taken to enhance national security is scrutinized and repeated ad-nauseam in the light of prime time; a grandiose recollection of half-truths, meticulously compiled in an attempt to stoke the fire of conspiracy. And while it might be journalistically beneficial to burn down the established order of public trust in government, it is not in the best interest of our country.

The Patriot Act, Rational-Basis Security Testing and other life-saving initiatives are reported only as invasions of privacy. Merely reminding Americans of the tragedy that was September 11<sup>th</sup> and the threat Islamic extremism still poses to the civilized world is now unacceptable; resulting in allegations of being overtly racist and spreading fascist propaganda. So where does this cycle of unfounded cynicism end? What will it take to convince the American people that, unlike Mahmoud Ahmadinejad and Kim Jong-Il, the Republicans in Congress are not hell-bent on world domination? Why is it so hard to believe that right-wing leaders might genuinely be concerned with protecting our country?

If it is indeed inconceivable, due to a lack of transparency, fiscal responsibility and optimism from its members, that the Republican Party could have any sincere interest in protecting the American People, any argument made by me to the contrary would be useless and, frankly, self-indulgent. I actually completely agree with the premise that an opaque, expensive and pessimistic war, waged against a seemingly not-so-dangerous enemy, is highly unusual. Therefore, my vehement disagreement with “The War on Climate Change” should be of no surprise.

**CASE IN POINT:** I find it ironic that many Americans hate Conservatives because of their perceived “fear-mongering” after September 11<sup>th</sup>, while they love Liberals in spite of their alarmist attitudes towards Global Warming. To best exhibit this painfully obvious double-standard, I’ve referenced some of the most famous militants in “The War on Climate Change.” Here are their *expert* testimonies:

*“The issue of climate change is one that we ignore at our own peril... and unless we free ourselves from a dependence on these fossil fuels and chart a new course on energy in this country, we are condemning future generations to global catastrophe.”*

*- President Barack Obama*

Wow, when it comes to maintaining a positive outlook, apparently no one is more qualified to criticize former administrations than our current Commander-in-Chief. What an incredibly inspiring and “hopeful” statement. Let’s be honest, not only is this avowal despondently pessimistic, it is miserably hypocritical. President Obama claims to have an interest in saving our planet and our economy, but his actions speak otherwise. Take, as evidence of this, his stances on Nuclear, Wind and Fossil Fuel Technologies.

**Nuclear** - President Obama is hesitant to adopt nuclear energy on the grounds that it is unsafe, unstable and too expensive to implement.

In reality, nuclear energy emits absolutely no greenhouse gases and is more cost-effective (in the long run) than any other alternative. The E.P.A, The Senate Committee of Environment and Energy and the United States Nuclear Regulatory Agency have all approved of nuclear power and an accompanying waste depository at Yucca Mountain. Despite this, President Obama still feels that his judgment, and that of his unnamed experts, is of more value.

In December 2008, Barack Obama was quoted as saying “I am not a proponent of nuclear energy.” A few weeks later, closer to the elections, in an interview with Fox News, he was quoted as saying “I favor nuclear power as one component of our overall energy mix.” Unable to make up his own mind, President Obama has no problem throwing our money away towards fruitless “research.” If only research powered homes and provided jobs.

Here’s the deal. Nuclear Energy is being used all-across Europe with fantastic results. Safety has improved exponentially over the years, to the point that even FRANCE feels safe using it. It is time to stop talking and take action.

**Wind** – Environmentalists pull the strings of President Obama’s marionette-like stance on wind energy. Talking out two sides of his mouth and unable to stand on his own, Obama defers all questions regarding wind-power to Congress. Unfortunately most Democratic politicians pander to organizations like Industrial Wind Action and National Wind Watch; well-funded and narrowly-focused Green Groups that contend wind turbines are unattractive and interfere with the flight patterns of certain birds (seriously).

Wind energy is currently being used by several countries including Germany, Denmark, Canada and the United Kingdom. It is clean, simple and inexhaustible. Very few people benefiting from the inexpensive energy provided by wind turbines complain about their lack of aesthetic value; in fact, many people find these modern windmills quite picturesque. Lastly, no one cares about birds when they cannot afford gas or pay their electricity bills.

**I dunno, ask Congress!  
They are super smart,  
always concerned about  
average Americans and in  
NO WAY tied to special  
interest groups!!**

**I know you're a real boy, but what are we going  
to do about America's ENERGY INDEPENDENCE?!**



**Fossil Fuels** – When it comes to fossil fuels like clean-coal, oil and natural gas (energy sources that emit CO<sub>2</sub>), President Obama always disapproves. It is interesting to note, however, that none of the programs he has put into place have anything to do with limiting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. For instance:

1. President Obama plans to super-tax gas companies, making them incapable of developing cleaner technologies (BP and Exxon Mobil are two of the companies on the forefront of green-energy research).
2. The President also apportioned a GIGANTIC amount of stimulus money to an outdated “carbon-capture” program. “Carbon-capture” technology essentially stores CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from factories in underground facilities. It does absolutely nothing to help the environment and DIRECTLY benefits coal burning facilities that are no longer held responsible for their carbon output. Instead of implementing technologies being developed by Penn State, Carbon Sciences and Sandia National Laboratories that focus on converting CO<sub>2</sub> into NEW energy, President Obama would rather hide the harmful emissions underground (Bland 2).
3. While in the Senate, President Obama and his Democratic comrades continually blocked any Republican attempts to foster off-shore drilling in the United States. They argued that off-shore drilling poisons the ocean and contributes to Global Warming. Unfortunately, the Democratic Establishment had nothing to say when Chinese government decided to use the exact same locations for their own exploitation. They showed no sympathy for our economy, our jobs and our energy independence when they stepped aside to let China provide for theirs (it should be noted that China put few, if any, environmental restrictions on their oil wells).

Time and time again, Barack Obama proves that he is completely uninterested in helping the environment, unless such action directly benefits an organization that contributed to his campaign fund. For the foreseeable future, I guess the American people will have to be content being held at ransom by OPEC executives.

(P.S. I think we can all agree that Barack Obama, having spent over 4 *trillion* dollars in his first six weeks in office, is the LAST person that should be worried about “condemning” future generations to catastrophe.)

***“Now is time to act; the future of our country, indeed our entire planet, is at stake.”***

*– Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi*

This statement proves that Nancy Pelosi (a fervent Bush-Basher) is no stranger to the practice of “fear-mongering.” I mean, it doesn’t get any scarier than telling people the ENTIRE PLANET is facing imminent destruction.

Yes, those certainly are some strong words, Mrs. Speaker. So let me respond with two of my own (one of them hyphenated): GROUND AIR-PELOSI!

Someone needs to inform this lady that our planet has undergone much more extreme weather variations many, many times in the past. In fact, it’s these same fluctuations that provide a stable equilibrium for earth’s long-term existence. Excessive bureaucratic interference, like always, will only make matters worse.

***“There are many who still do not believe that global warming is a problem at all. And it's no wonder: because they are the targets of a massive and well-organized campaign of disinformation lavishly funded by polluters who are determined to prevent any action to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions that cause global warming out of a fear that their profits might be affected if they had to stop dumping so much pollution into the atmosphere.”***

*– Former Vice President, Al Gore*

I can't believe “The Honorable Al Gore” had the audacity to make this statement about anyone that opposes his specific brand of Anthropogenic Global Warming.

This is coming from a man that makes hundreds of millions of dollars annually through his Limited-Liability Partnership, Generation Investment Management; a company who purchases Green Stocks that skyrocket after Mr. Gore scares people into using the technologies they represent. Combine this with the sales from his books, lectures and DVDs... Essentially, Al Gore's ENTIRE income is derived from YOUR panic. As if this was not enough information to form a legitimate conspiracy, Al Gore owns a private jet, a fleet of Sport Utility Vehicles and an energy-guzzling mansion that uses more than twice the amount of electricity in one *month* than the average American household uses in one *year*!



*Nancy Pelosi's Personal Jet: “My other car is a hybrid... I swear.”*

**CONCLUSION:** Conservatives are constantly chastised for trying to protect the American people against dangers that have actually taken lives. Offensive conspiracy theories about President Bush profiting from the War on Terror, planning the September 11<sup>th</sup> attacks and organizing the Abu Ghraib abuses surface daily without rebuttal. Liberals, on the other hand, are never called out on their inconsistencies because obvious facts are overlooked by the mass-media. No matter how false their statements are, no matter how many people are negatively affected by their decisions, Liberals are deified at times when they should be demonized.

We should all be good stewards of the earth we live on, but not at the expense of the people that share it with us. The amount of money the UN would need to spend in order to reduce global CO2 emissions by any significant amount is more than enough to cure world hunger several times over (Beck 1). We cannot continue to bankrupt the global economy in order to fight an invisible enemy created by insincere, money-hungry politicians. The truth is there are more pressing issues to address; real problems that don't require international marketing campaigns to steal our sympathy.

*Copyright © 2009 Informed-Dissent.com*

## **Works Cited**

Bland, Eric. “Nanotube Tech Transforms CO2 Into Fuel.” Discovery News. 23 March 2009.  
<http://dsc.discovery.com/news/2009/03/23/carbon-dioxide-fuel.html>

Beck, Glenn and Kevin Balfe. “An Inconvenient Book: Real Solutions to the World's Biggest Problems.” New York: Mercury Radio Arts Incorporated, 2007.